

Remarks/Arguments

Please reconsider the application in view of the following remarks.

Disposition of Claims

Claims 1-5 are pending in the application. Claims 1-5 are rejected.

Drawings

The Examiner states that Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as "prior art". In response, Applicant submits corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d).

Specification

The Examiner states that the disclosure is objected to because the specification is replete with problems concerning with idiomatic English. In response, Applicant submits herewith a substitute specification pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125. A clean version of the substitute specification is also provided.

The substitute specification contains no new matter.

Applicant requests that the present specification be replaced with the substitute specification presented herewith.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In response, as suggested by the Examiner, Applicant amends claims 1-5 to conform with U.S. practice and to cure deficiencies in antecedent basis and idiomatic English. Applicant requests that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 be withdrawn.

Conclusion

The claims have been shown to be allowable over the prior art. Applicant believes that this paper is responsive to each and every ground of rejection cited by the Examiner in the Action dated September 17, 2004, and respectfully requests favorable action in this application. The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned, applicant's attorney of record, to facilitate advancement of the present application.

Drawings
OK to enter
in 2/20/05

sub spec
OK to enter
in 2/20/05